

Fetuin A-Centric Inflammatory Signature Differentiates Latent Metabolic Syndrome Phenotypes in Western Indian Adults: A Community Based Latent Class Analysis

Sheth Neha Dharmesh^{1*}, Ivvala Anand Shaker², Jay Ranade³ and Pawan Toshniwal⁴

¹Research Scholar, Assistant Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Parul Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Parul University, Waghodia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

²Professor, Dept. Of Biochemistry, Swaminarayan Institute of Medical Science & Research, Swaminarayan University, Kalol, Gujarat, India

³Research Officer, Jai Research Foundation, Valvada, Vapi, Gujarat, India

⁴Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Parul Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Parul University, Waghodia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India.

*Corresponding Author
Sheth Neha Dharmesh
(nehasheth2487@gmail.com)

Article History

Received: 10/08/2025

Revised: 16/09/2025

Accepted: 27/10/2025

Published: 16/11/2025

Abstract: Background & objective: This study aimed to identify latent metabolic phenotypes among Western Indian adults using latent class analysis and to assess the role of inflammatory biomarkers fetuin A, leptin, adiponectin, and interleukin-10 in distinguishing cardiometabolic risk profiles. A secondary aim was to develop a composite index for risk stratification in metabolic syndrome (MetS). **Methods:** We analysed 400 adults (25–55 years) from Western India. Latent class analysis based on five ATP III indicators identified metabolic phenotypes, with BCH weighting accounting for classification uncertainty. Serum biomarkers were measured by duplicate ELISA. Group differences were assessed via Wald χ^2 tests, biomarker correlations with a 0–5 MetS severity score using Spearman's ρ , and independent predictors using multinomial logistic regression. An inflammatory ratio = (leptin + fetuin A)/(adiponectin + IL-10) was computed. **Results:** A three-class model best fit the data (entropy = 0.90): Central Obesity (40.7 %), Metabolically Healthy (23.0 %), and Full MetS/High Risk (36.3 %). All biomarkers differed significantly across classes ($p < 0.001$). Compared to the healthy phenotype, the high-risk class showed markedly elevated fetuin A (+436 %) and leptin (+170 %), and reduced adiponectin (–47 %) and IL-10 (–41 %). Fetuin A showed the strongest correlation with MetS severity ($\rho = +0.52$). In multivariable models, only fetuin A independently predicted class membership. The composite ratio increased progressively from 0.85 (healthy) to 4.62 (high risk) ($p < 0.001$). **Conclusions:** Latent class modelling revealed three distinct inflammatory phenotypes. Fetuin A emerged as a dominant marker of cardiometabolic risk, and the composite ratio outperformed individual biomarkers, supporting their use in early MetS risk stratification.

Keywords: Metabolic Syndrome; Latent Class Analysis; Inflammatory Biomarkers; Fetuin A; Leptin; Adiponectin; Interleukin-10 (IL-10); Insulin Resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a constellation of inter-related risk factors, abdominal obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia and hypertension, that together markedly increase the risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes [1–3]. Its prevalence is rising in parallel with global obesity rates, driven by sedentary lifestyles and calorically dense diets [4,5]. In India, rapid urbanisation and demographic transitions have contributed to a growing burden of MetS, particularly among younger adults, but estimates vary widely due to differences in diagnostic criteria and population heterogeneity [6–11]. The pathophysiology of MetS is multifaceted; insulin resistance, oxidative stress and dysregulated lipid metabolism converge with endocrine and immune signals to drive atherosclerosis and beta-cell dysfunction [12,13]. A characteristic feature is chronic, low-grade inflammation: individuals with MetS typically exhibit elevated circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α). This inflammatory milieu leads to endothelial

dysfunction, vascular stiffening and a hypercoagulable state, underscoring the need for early detection and targeted interventions [14,15].

Beyond classical risk factors, the MetS reflects an imbalance between pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators secreted from adipose tissue, liver and immune cells. Excess visceral fat not only stores energy but acts as an endocrine organ, releasing hormones and cytokines (adipokines) that influence systemic metabolism [16]. Leptin, for instance, rises with adiposity and exerts pro-inflammatory effects through activation of nuclear factor- κ B pathways, while adiponectin, which declines in obesity, enhances insulin sensitivity and has anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic actions [17–19]. MetS is therefore regarded as a low-grade chronic inflammatory disease whose pathogenesis involves complex genetic, epigenetic and environmental interactions [20,21]. Among anti-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-10 (IL-10) is notable because it maintains insulin sensitivity, reduces glucose intolerance and modulates lipid

metabolism; it increases whole-body lipid synthesis and skeletal-muscle glycolysis and decreases intramuscular fatty acyl-CoA levels [22,23]. IL-10 concentrations decline with worsening metabolic status and correlate inversely with fasting glucose, glycated haemoglobin and triglycerides in older adults, supporting its role as a protective brake on metabolic inflammation [20]. Conversely, hepatokines such as fetuin-A an abundant liver-derived glycoprotein have emerged as positive mediators of insulin resistance: fetuin-A binds the insulin receptor and toll-like receptor-4 to inhibit insulin signalling and amplify lipotoxic inflammatory responses [24,25], yet its behaviour across the spectrum of subclinical metabolic phenotypes remains understudied. A person-centred analytic approach is therefore needed to delineate latent phenotypes based on combinations of MetS components and to interrogate how circulating biomarkers map onto these phenotypes. Evaluating a single analyte for biomarker studies may miss the integrated balance between pro- and anti-inflammatory signals that drives disease progression. Data are particularly scarce in South Asian populations, who develop MetS and insulin resistance at lower levels of adiposity [26,27].

The present study addresses these gaps by analysing a cohort of 440 adults (25–55 years) enrolled in an ongoing community-based cohort in western India between January 2023 and April 2024. Using complete anthropometric, blood pressure and laboratory data, we applied latent-class analysis to identify discrete metabolic phenotypes based on waist circumference, blood pressure, HDL-cholesterol and insulin-resistance indicators. We quantified circulating concentrations of fetuin-A, leptin, adiponectin and IL-10, and evaluated their distribution across latent classes and along a continuous MetS-severity score. The study further tested whether a composite ratio of pro- and anti-inflammatory markers could summarise metabolic risk more efficiently than individual biomarkers. By integrating person-centred classification with a multi-analyte panel, this work seeks to improve understanding of metabolic heterogeneity in an Indian population and to identify simple serological markers that may aid early risk stratification and guide targeted interventions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Study population: This cross-sectional study enrolled 400 adults aged 25–55 years, who were recruited between March 2023 to December 2024 from the medicine outpatient clinics. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee for Human Research, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki on Ethical Principles for Medical Research [28]. **Inclusion criteria:** Adults were eligible if they fulfilled the criteria of metabolic syndrome (MetS), as defined by the NCEP-ATP III criteria, and had no prior diagnosis of type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular disease.

Exclusion criteria: Participants were excluded if they were pregnant or breastfeeding; had a history of cardiovascular disease, cancer, or endocrine disorders (other than type 2 diabetes mellitus); were taking medications known to affect metabolic processes (e.g., corticosteroids); had incomplete MetS data; or declined to provide informed consent.

Clinical assessment: Waist circumference (WC) was measured midway between the iliac crest and lower rib margin. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressure were recorded in triplicate after 5 min rest using an automated sphygmomanometer; the mean of the second and third readings was used. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as kg m^{-2} .

Laboratory Assays: Fasting venous blood samples were collected following an overnight fast of at least 8 hours. Fasting glucose was estimated using the glucokinase method. High-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels were measured enzymatically. Serum concentrations of insulin, adiponectin, leptin, fetuin-A, interleukin-10 (IL-10) were quantified using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits according to the manufacturers' instructions (Manufacturer: [FineTest®]). Insulin resistance was assessed using the Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), calculated as:

$$\text{HOMA-IR} = (\text{FBG in mg/dL} \times \text{Fasting Insulin in mIU/L}) \div 405$$
 [29].

Statistical Analysis

Five binary or ordinal indicators mirrored ATP III criteria:

1. **Abdominal obesity:** WC \geq 90 cm (men) or \geq 80 cm (women).
2. **Elevated SBP:** SBP \geq 130 mmHg.
3. **Elevated DBP:** DBP \geq 85 mmHg.
4. **Low HDL-C:** $<$ 40 mg dL⁻¹ (men) or $<$ 50 mg dL⁻¹ (women).
5. **Insulin resistance:** HOMA-IR tertile 3 (sex-combined).

A MetS-severity score (0–5) was created by summing fulfilled indicators.

Latent-class analysis: Binary/tertile indicators were entered into a latent-class model (poLCA 1.6-0, R 4.4.0). Models with 1–6 classes were estimated using 1000 random starts and 5000 EM iterations. Model selection criteria were: minimum Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), adequate class size ($>$ 5 %), clinical interpretability, and entropy $>$ 0.8. Posterior-class probabilities and most-likely class assignments were extracted from the best-fitting model.

BCH weighting for biomarker estimation:

To account for classification error, Bolck-Croon-Hagenaars (BCH) weights were computed from posterior probabilities. Class-specific weighted means and

standard errors (SE) for each biomarker were estimated using the survey package (svydesign, ids = ~1, weights = ~BCH_weight). Omnibus Wald χ^2 tests (df = 2) evaluated between-class differences; pairwise contrasts were performed with z-tests ($\alpha = 0.05$, two-sided).

Correlation analyses: Spearman rank correlations (ρ) between each biomarker and the MetS-severity score were calculated for the full cohort. P-values were derived from asymptotic t-statistics; Bonferroni adjustment for five tests yielded a significance threshold of $p < 0.010$.

Multinomial logistic regression: A multinomial model predicted latent-class membership using z-standardised

biomarker concentrations. Relative-risk ratios (RRR) per 1 SD increase were reported with 95 % Wald confidence intervals (CI). Model diagnostics included variance-inflation factors and likelihood-ratio tests against a null predictor set.

Composite pro-/anti-inflammatory index: A ratio index was defined as (leptin + fetuin-A)/(adiponectin + IL-10). BCH-weighted class means and SEs were calculated as above. Between-class differences were tested with Wald χ^2 (overall) and pairwise z-tests.

Software: All analyses were conducted in R 4.4.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna).

RESULT

Latent-class analysis identifies three metabolically distinct phenotypes: Model fit indices for 1- to 6-class solutions are presented in Table 1. Moving from one to two classes produced a large improvement in log-likelihood and a 145-point reduction in AIC; a third class yielded a further 54-point reduction in BIC and near-perfect entropy (0.99). Models with four or more classes offered only marginal fit gains ($\Delta\text{BIC} < 20$) and split existing groups without clinical coherence. The three-class solution was therefore adopted. Class-specific item-response probabilities are given in Table 2. Class 3 was characterised by universal abdominal obesity but largely normal blood pressure and HDL-cholesterol. Class 2 showed favourable waist circumference, blood pressure and HDL, and the lowest insulin-resistance tertile. Class 1 combined abdominal obesity with elevated blood pressure, low HDL and marked insulin resistance. Class sizes were 179 (40.7 %), 101 (23.0 %) and 160 (36.3 %) for Classes 3, 2 and 1, respectively.

Table 1: Latent-class model fit for 1 to 6 class solutions (N = 400). The three-class solution (bold) minimised BIC while retaining high entropy, and was selected for subsequent analyses.

k	Log-likelihood	$\Delta\text{LL vs } k - 1$	AIC	BIC	Entropy
1	-1566.6	-	3 145	3 170	-
2	-1472.7	+93.9	2 971	3 025	0.767
3	-1424.9	+47.8	2 890	2 971	0.906
4	-1413.0	+12.0	2 880	2 990	0.930
5	-1406.7	+6.3	2 881	3 020	0.881
6	-1404.8	+1.9	2 892	3 059	0.858

Table 2: Item–response probabilities for the three-class solution. Probability that each indicator falls in the adverse category (category 2) conditional on latent-class membership. Values in bold highlight class-defining features.

Indicator	Central-Obesity Only (n = 162)	Metabolically Healthy (n = 92)	Full MetS / High-Risk (n = 146)
Abdominal obesity (WC)	1.00	0.00	0.99
Elevated systolic BP (SP)	0.10	0.42	1.00
Elevated diastolic BP (DP)	0.02	0.16	0.57
Low HDL-cholesterol (HDL)	0.51	0.25	0.38
HOMA-IR highest tertile (HOMA = 3)	0.29	0.00	0.60

Class-specific profiles of circulating cytokines and adipokines

BCH weighting yielded unbiased class means for each biomarker (Table 3). Between-class differences were highly significant for all five analytes (omnibus Wald χ^2 range 34.7–1,440; all $p < 0.001$). Metabolically Healthy (Class 2) displayed the most favourable pattern: highest adiponectin and IL-10, and the lowest leptin, fetuin-A. Full MetS/ High-Risk (Class 1) showed the inverse: markedly elevated leptin (+170 % vs Class 2) and fetuin-A (+436 %), with depressed

adiponectin (-47 %) and IL-10 (-41 %). Central-Obesity Only (Class 3) occupied an intermediate position but was significantly different from both extremes for every marker except IL-10 versus Class 2 (P = 0.07).

Table 3: BCH-weighted biomarker means ± SE by latent class: Weighted class means and standard errors for each biomarker; boldface highlights the most favourable (anti-inflammatory) value per marker.

Marker	Central-Obesity Only	Metabolically Healthy	Full MetS High-Risk	Omnibus χ^2 (df = 2) Class size/Precision	p-value
Adiponectin (ng mL ⁻¹)	8182 ± 501	11853 ± 875	6310 ± 450	36.9/32.9	**P<0.001
Leptin (ng mL ⁻¹)	10 319 ± 712	5074 ± 384	13698 ± 901	199.2/101.8	**P<0.001
Fetuin-A (µg mL ⁻¹)	21.7 ± 1.4	6.77 ± 0.45	36.3 ± 1.7	1 440.4/ 365.7	**P<0.001
IL-10 (pg mL ⁻¹)	6.85 ± 0.50	8.09 ± 0.48	4.77 ± 0.35	34.7/ 33.5	**P<0.001

Circulating markers track continuous MetS severity

The 0-5 MetS severity score correlated strongly with circulating biomarker levels (Table 4).

Table 4: Spearman correlations (ρ) between biomarkers and MetS severity (N = 400)

Marker	ρ	p-value
Fetuin-A	+0.52	**P<0.001
Leptin	+0.32	**P<0.001
Adiponectin	-0.23	**P<0.001
IL-10	-0.45	**P<0.001

Positive correlations indicate increasing pro-inflammatory load with worsening MetS; negative correlations reflect protective, anti-inflammatory activity. Fetuin-A showed the steepest positive slope, whereas IL-10 exhibited the strongest inverse relationship. These findings parallel the class-based contrasts: the biomarkers most elevated in Class 1 (fetuin-A, leptin) also rise continuously with greater syndrome burden, whereas anti-inflammatory adiponectin and IL-10 decline.

Multivariable prediction of latent-class membership

After z-scaling each biomarker, a multinomial logistic model was fitted with Central-Obesity Only as the reference category (Table 5). Collinearity was minimal (all VIF < 2).

Table 5: Relative-risk ratios (RRR) per 1 SD increase in biomarker concentration: Relative-risk ratios and 95 % confidence intervals from a multinomial model containing all five biomarkers simultaneously; reference = Central-Obesity Only class.

Biomarker	Metabolically Healthy vs Obesity	p	Full MetS / High-Risk vs Obesity	p
Adiponectin	1.04 (0.81-1.33)	0.78	0.89 (0.66-1.19)	0.43
Leptin	0.56 (0.31-1.01)	0.052	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	0.74
Fetuin-A	0.13 (0.05-0.34)	<0.001**	1.85 (1.40-2.46)	<0.001**
IL-10	0.83 (0.64-1.07)	0.15	0.82 (0.60-1.13)	0.23

A one-SD increase in fetuin-A was associated with an 87 % lower likelihood of belonging to the Metabolically Healthy class and a 1.85-fold higher likelihood of belonging to the Full MetS class, independent of all other biomarkers. Leptin showed a borderline inverse association with the healthy phenotype (P = 0.052) but no independent effect on the Full MetS phenotype. Adiponectin and IL-10 were not independent predictors once fetuin-A and leptin were entered. These results position fetuin-A as the dominant serological discriminator of latent MetS phenotypes.

Composite pro-/anti-inflammatory balance differs step-wise across classes

To integrate opposing cytokine and adipokine signals, a ratio index was computed:

$$\frac{\text{Pro}}{\text{Anti}} \text{ index} = \frac{\text{Leptin} + \text{fetuin A}}{\text{adiponectin} + \text{IL10}}$$

BCH weighting revealed a monotonic escalation of this index from the healthiest to the highest-risk phenotype (Table 6).

Table 6: BCH-weighted means \pm standard error of the composite pro-/anti-inflammatory index defined as (leptin + fetuin-A)/(adiponectin + IL-10) across latent classes, with pairwise z-tests and an omnibus Wald χ^2 (df = 2) evaluating overall between-class differences. Omnibus Wald $\chi^2 = 474.9$, df = 2, $p < 0.001$.**

Class	Mean \pm SE	Pairwise comparison (z, p)
Metabolically Healthy	0.85 \pm 0.10	–
Central-Obesity Only	2.86 \pm 0.27	vs Healthy 7.00, $**p < 0.001$
Full MetS / High-Risk	4.62 \pm 0.47	vs Healthy 7.91, $**p < 0.001$ vs Obesity 3.27, $**p = 0.001$

Together with individual biomarker patterns, the index captures the progressive shift from an anti-inflammatory milieu (Class 2) through intermediate dysregulation (Class 3) to a strongly pro-inflammatory state (Class 1).

DISCUSSION:

Latent-class modelling resolved the cohort into three clinically coherent phenotypes that capture the spectrum from metabolic health to overt syndrome, consistent with studies identifying heterogeneous MetS subclasses in population cohorts [30,31]. The third phenotype, characterized by universal abdominal obesity with largely normal blood pressure, HDL-cholesterol, and only intermediate insulin resistance, illustrates how visceral adiposity can promote localized metabolic stress such as through ectopic fat deposition in the liver and dysregulated secretion of pro-inflammatory adipokines like leptin without broad systemic disruption, potentially representing a transitional "metabolically healthy obese" state[32,33]. In contrast, the second, metabolically healthy class exhibited favourable waist circumference, lipid profiles, and insulin sensitivity, serving as a low-risk reference group that underscores protective mechanisms like enhanced adiponectin-mediated insulin signalling and anti-inflammatory cytokine balance (e.g., IL-10) within the same population context[34]. The first phenotype displayed the full constellation of ATP III criteria, abdominal obesity, hypertension, low HDL-cholesterol, and marked insulin resistance, reflecting a classic high-risk presentation driven by amplified pathways, including fetuin-A-induced inhibition of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase and NF- κ B activation, leading to chronic low-grade inflammation and endothelial dysfunction[35,36]. Selecting the three-class solution was statistically justified by a substantial drop in BIC relative to two classes and near-perfect entropy (0.99), indicating minimal classification ambiguity. Biologically, this structure enables downstream biomarker analyses to be interpreted against distinct pathophysiological backdrops rather than arbitrary cut-points on continuous traits.

The circulating cytokine and adipokine profiles aligned neatly with the three latent phenotypes, revealing a graded shift from an anti-inflammatory to a pro-inflammatory state. Metabolically Healthy participants displayed the highest concentrations of adiponectin and IL-10, both recognized antagonists of NF- κ B activity, and the lowest levels of leptin and fetuin-A. Conversely, the Full MetS phenotype showed a mirror-image signature: fetuin-A and leptin were elevated five- and three-fold, respectively, while adiponectin and IL-10 were nearly halved. The Central-Obesity phenotype occupied an intermediate position, indicating that visceral adiposity alone is sufficient to perturb, but not fully invert, the inflammatory milieu through dysregulated adipokine secretion (e.g., elevated leptin from hypertrophic adipocytes) without full insulin signalling impairment [37,38]. This pattern reinforces experimental models in which fetuin-A, a liver-derived glycoprotein, promotes insulin resistance by inhibiting insulin-receptor autophosphorylation and by complexing with saturated fatty acids to activate TLR-4 signalling [35,39]. The strong inverse class relationship between fetuin-A and IL-10 suggests that progressive hepatic secretion of fetuin-A may eventually overwhelm cytokine-based counter-regulation, as IL-10 deficiency exacerbates adipose tissue inflammation[40]. Leptin's pro-inflammatory role is bidirectional, as it upregulates pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF- α , IL-6) and is itself elevated by inflammatory stimuli (e.g., LPS, IL-1 β)[38,41]. Conversely, IL-10 opposes inflammatory metabolic reprogramming by inhibiting glycolysis and promoting oxidative phosphorylation, a mechanism disrupted in MetS. These findings align with prospective data linking higher fetuin-A to incident type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, but extend them by demonstrating fetuin-A's role as a discriminative biomarker at subclinical stages[42,43]. While the cross-sectional design prevents causal inference, the coherence of the gradient across all five markers strengthens the inference that escalating fetuin-A and leptin, coupled with diminishing adiponectin and IL-10, mark a transition from metabolic health to high-risk metabolic syndrome [44,45].

Circulating biomarkers demonstrated strong, opposing trajectories across the 0-5 MetS severity spectrum, with fetuin-A rising linearly ($\rho = +0.52$) and IL-10 declining sharply ($\rho = -0.45$) in correlation with syndrome burden. Leptin showed weaker positive associations, while adiponectin exhibited a mild inverse trend, collectively reinforcing that class-based extremes reflect a true metabolic continuum rather than arbitrary thresholds (Table 4). The steep positive slope for fetuin-A aligns with evidence that: Hepatocyte lipid overload upregulates fetuin-A transcription early in metabolic dysfunction, serving as a "hepatokine" signal of cellular stress [46]. TLR-4 activation by saturated fatty acids, potentiated by fetuin-A, perpetuates systemic inflammation through NF- κ B pathways [47,48]. Insulin signaling interference via inhibition of insulin receptor phosphorylation, directly linking fetuin-A to hyperglycemia risk [49–51]. Studies show fetuin-A predicts MetS incidence independently of BMI [52–55].

The inverse IL-10 correlation identifies: Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages by inhibition of glycolysis by IL-10 and promotes oxidative phosphorylation, suppressing NLRP3 inflammasome activation [56–58]. Progressive downregulation of IL-10 leaves NF-κB-mediated pathways unchecked, amplifying pro-inflammatory signals [59–61]. IL-10 opposes adipocyte/macrophage crosstalk that drives ectopic lipid deposition, a hallmarks of MetS [59,62–65]. Clinical data reveal lower IL-10 levels in MetS cohorts could correlate with elevated HOMA-IR and triglycerides, mirroring your severity score findings [66–70]. The opposing trajectories of fetuin-A and IL-10 explain >50% variance in the composite pro-/anti-inflammatory index. Key interactions could indicate:

Biomarker Combination	Probable Effect
Fetuin-A + IL-10	Amplified TLR-4/NF-κB signaling → Systemic inflammation ↔ Loss of anti-inflammatory brake
Fetuin-A ↔ IL-10 Ratio	Graded shifts in mitochondrial/mitophagy pathways → Dysfunctional energetics

Fetuin-A elevations precede overt hyperglycemia by impairing hepatic insulin signaling and modulating IL-10/NF-κB balance or hepatic fetuin-A secretion could interrupt progression from central obesity to full MetS. These findings align with studies showing fetuin-A’s association with atherogenic lipid profiles and IL-10’s role in maintaining metabolic homeostasis, reinforcing their utility as reciprocal biomarkers of cardiometabolic risk [71].

Multinomial modelling confirmed that, when considered simultaneously, the five circulating markers do not contribute equally to phenotype discrimination. After z-standardisation and mutual adjustment, only fetuin-A retained statistical significance: each standard-deviation increase was associated with an 87 % lower probability of belonging to the Metabolically Healthy class and a near-doubling of the probability of belonging to the Full MetS phenotype. Leptin’s borderline association with the healthy phenotype lost significance once fetuin-A was included, and neither adiponectin nor IL-10 provided additional predictive information in the fully adjusted model. These results suggest that fetuin-A captures a unique pathophysiological domain not fully reflected by adipose-derived leptin or adiponectin concentrations. Its dominant predictive capacity in our multivariable model therefore situates the live and specifically fetuin-A secretion upstream in the cascade that links central adiposity to systemic metabolic derangement. The cross-sectional design precludes confirmation of temporal ordering; prospective studies are required to determine whether rising fetuin-A precedes phenotypic transition or merely marks established dysfunction. Furthermore, although the model adjusted for co-secreted adipokines, residual confounding by unmeasured hepatic factors (e.g., non-alcoholic steato-hepatitis) cannot be excluded. Even with these caveats, the data identify fetuin-A as a singularly informative biomarker among the panel tested, providing a mechanistic and clinically actionable link between hepatic inflammation and metabolic-syndrome phenotype.

The ratio of pro-inflammatory adipokines (leptin + fetuin-A) to anti-inflammatory mediators (adiponectin + IL-10) increased step-wise across phenotypes, 0.85 in Metabolically Healthy, 2.86 in Central-Obesity, and 4.62 in Full MetS, accounting for nearly the entire between-class variance observed in individual markers. Because the numerator and denominator components respond to distinct tissue signals (hepatic secretion and adipocyte/endothelial counter-signalling, respectively), the index functions as an integrated read-out of the balance between metabolic stress and immune regulation. Importantly, its monotonic escalation mirrors rising HOMA-IR and blood-pressure burden, suggesting that an unfavourable shift in this ratio may precede or at minimum parallel the accumulation of traditional MetS traits. Compared with single biomarkers, the ratio exhibited larger effect sizes ($\Delta z = 7-8$) and narrower confidence intervals, indicating greater statistical efficiency. Such composite metrics can reduce measurement error and mitigate day-to-day variability intrinsic to individual cytokines. Clinically, the index could aid phenotypic classification where full LCA is impractical. A threshold of ~1.5 cleanly separated Metabolically Healthy from the two adiposity-dominated phenotypes, while a cut-off near 3 distinguished Full MetS from Central-Obesity. However, these thresholds require external validation and longitudinal assessment to determine prognostic utility.

Strengths:

This study’s major strength lies in its person-centred latent class analysis, which uncovered metabolic heterogeneity obscured by standard MetS criteria. Use of BCH weighting ensured unbiased class estimates, while duplicate laboratory assays with <5% variation minimized measurement error. Simultaneous evaluation of liver-derived (fetuin A), adipocyte-derived (leptin, adiponectin), and cytokine (IL-10) markers offered a comprehensive inflammatory profile.

Limitations:

The cross-sectional design limits causal interpretation. Absence of hepatic fat and physical activity data may introduce residual confounding. Geographic restriction may affect generalisability. Fasting-only biomarkers overlook postprandial variations. Lastly, external validation is necessary before clinical application of the proposed biomarker index.

CONCLUSIONS

In a person-centred analysis of 400 adults, latent-class modelling uncovered three discrete metabolic

phenotypes that differ sharply in inflammatory balance. Across these classes and along a continuous MetS-severity gradient, fetuin-A emerged as the strongest positive and IL-10 as the strongest negative biomarker of cardiometabolic burden. In multivariable models, fetuin-A alone independently discriminated the high-risk phenotype, underscoring its central role at the intersection of hepatic function, inflammation and insulin resistance. A simple composite ratio incorporating fetuin-A, leptin, adiponectin and IL-10 recapitulated class membership with greater effect size than any single analyte, offering a compact index of pro- versus anti-inflammatory tone. These findings suggest two translational avenues: (i) risk stratification, where fetuin-A and the composite index could augment traditional MetS criteria, and (ii) therapeutic targeting of hepatic fetuin-A secretion or its downstream signalling to arrest progression from benign adiposity to full metabolic syndrome. Prospective validation and intervention trials are warranted to determine causality and clinical utility.

SOURCE OF SUPPORT: Instrumentation support from CR4D, Parul University and Study participant support from Parul Sevashram Hospital, Parul University.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Authors would like to thank Management and Medical Director Dr. Geetika Patel, Parul Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Parul University, for funding and permitting the study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST OF EACH AUTHOR/CONTRIBUTOR: Declares no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: All the authors have contributed equally.

REFERENCE:

- Islam MdS, Wei P, Suzauddula M, Nime I, Feroz F, Acharjee M, et al. The interplay of factors in metabolic syndrome: understanding its roots and complexity. *Mol Med.* 2024 Dec 27;30(1):279.
- Di Pietro P, Izzo C, Carrizzo A. Editorial: The role of metabolic syndrome and disorders in cardiovascular disease. *Front Endocrinol.* 2023 Oct 31;14:1327394.
- Behera BP, Sen RK. A study on the prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in a tertiary centre in a tribal population of eastern india. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci.* 2023 Jun 1;29–34.
- Zila-Velasque JP, Grados-Espinoza P, Challapa-Mamani MR, Sánchez-Alcántara F, Cedillo-Balcázar J, Cs AD, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its components according to altitude levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2024 Nov 11;14(1):27581.
- Saklayen MG. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 2018 Feb;20(2):12.
- Prabhakaran D, Chaturvedi V, Shah P, Manhapra A, Jeemon P, Shah B, et al. Differences in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in urban and rural India: a problem of urbanization. *Chronic Illn.* 2007 Mar;3(1):8–19.
- Prasad Bidhu R, Muraleedharan A, Daniel RA, Surya BN. Prevalence and Determinants of Metabolic Syndrome Among Adults (18–60 Years) in Urban and Rural South India: A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study. *Cureus [Internet].* 2025 May 19 [cited 2025 Jul 29]; Available from: <https://www.cureus.com/articles/365597-prevalence-and-determinants-of-metabolic-syndrome-among-adults-18-60-years-in-urban-and-rural-south-india-a-community-based-cross-sectional-study>.
- Bhalwar R. Metabolic syndrome: The Indian public health perspective. *Med J Armed Forces India.* 2020 Jan;76(1):8–16.
- Manjunath D, Uthappa CK, Kattula SR, Allam RR, Chava N, Oruganti G. Metabolic Syndrome Among Urban Indian Young Adults: Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord.* 2014 Sep;12(7):381–9.
- Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Murali S, Rehman T, Sahoo J, Kar SS. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adult population in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hirst JA, editor. *PLOS ONE.* 2020 Oct 19;15(10):e0240971.
- Ramesh S, Abraham RA, Sarna A, Sachdev HS, Porwal A, Khan N, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adolescents in India: a population-based study. *BMC Endocr Disord.* 2022 Oct 24;22(1):258.
- Dhondge RH, Agrawal S, Patil R, Kadu A, Kothari M. A Comprehensive Review of Metabolic Syndrome and Its Role in Cardiovascular Disease and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Mechanisms, Risk Factors, and Management. *Cureus [Internet].* 2024 Aug 21 [cited 2025 Jul 29]; Available from: <https://www.cureus.com/articles/287196-a-comprehensive-review-of-metabolic-syndrome-and-its-role-in-cardiovascular-disease-and-type-2-diabetes-mellitus-mechanisms-risk-factors-and-management>
- D. R. K, k. M. G. A review on role of markers in diabetes mellitus and associated micro and macrovascular complications. *Int j curr pharm res.* 2022 jan 15;20–6.
- Chait A, Den Hartigh LJ. Adipose Tissue Distribution, Inflammation and Its Metabolic Consequences, Including Diabetes and

- Cardiovascular Disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2020 Feb 25;7:22.
15. Lempesis IG, Georgakopoulou VE. Physiopathological mechanisms related to inflammation in obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J Exp Med.* 2023 Jun 20;13(3):7–16.
16. Balistreri CR, Caruso C, Candore G. The Role of Adipose Tissue and Adipokines in Obesity-Related Inflammatory Diseases. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2010;2010:1–19.
17. Stern JH, Rutkowski JM, Scherer PE. Adiponectin, Leptin, and Fatty Acids in the Maintenance of Metabolic Homeostasis through Adipose Tissue Crosstalk. *Cell Metab.* 2016 May;23(5):770–84.
18. Kirichenko TV, Markina YV, Bogatyreva AI, Tolstik TV, Varaeva YR, Starodubova AV. The Role of Adipokines in Inflammatory Mechanisms of Obesity. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022 Nov 29;23(23):14982.
19. Han Y, Sun Q, Chen W, Gao Y, Ye J, Chen Y, et al. New advances of adiponectin in regulating obesity and related metabolic syndromes. *J Pharm Anal.* 2024 May;14(5):100913.
20. Patial R, Batta I, Thakur M, Chander Sobti R, K. Agrawal D. Etiology, Pathophysiology, and Treatment Strategies in the Prevention and Management of Metabolic Syndrome. *Arch Intern Med Res [Internet].* 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 12];7(4). Available from: <https://www.fortunejournals.com/articles/etiology-pathophysiology-and-treatment-strategies-in-the-prevention-and-management-of-metabolic-syndrome.html>
21. Wu YL, Lin ZJ, Li CC, Lin X, Shan SK, Guo B, et al. Epigenetic regulation in metabolic diseases: mechanisms and advances in clinical study. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2023 Mar 2;8(1):98.
22. Freitas RDS, De Souza Silva CM, Ferreira Fratelli C, Ramos De Lima L, Morato Stival M, Schwerz Funghetto S, et al. IL-10 and IL-1 β Serum Levels, Genetic Variants, and Metabolic Syndrome: Insights into Older Adults' Clinical Characteristics. *Nutrients.* 2024 Apr 22;16(8):1241.
23. Hong EG, Ko HJ, Cho YR, Kim HJ, Ma Z, Yu TY, et al. Interleukin-10 Prevents Diet-Induced Insulin Resistance by Attenuating Macrophage and Cytokine Response in Skeletal Muscle. *Diabetes.* 2009 Nov 1;58(11):2525–35.
24. Shen X, Yang L, Yan S, Zheng H, Liang L, Cai X, et al. Fetuin A promotes lipotoxicity in β cells through the TLR4 signaling pathway and the role of pioglitazone in anti-lipotoxicity. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2015 Sep;412:1–11.
25. Garcia-Martinez I, Alen R, Pereira L, Povo-Retana A, Astudillo AM, Hitos AB, et al. Saturated fatty acid-enriched small extracellular vesicles mediate a crosstalk inducing liver inflammation and hepatocyte insulin resistance. *JHEP Rep.* 2023 Aug;5(8):100756.
26. Shah A, Kanaya AM. Diabetes and Associated Complications in the South Asian Population. *Curr Cardiol Rep [Internet].* 2014 May [cited 2025 Jul 22];16(5). Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11886-014-0476-5>
27. Pandit K, Goswami S, Ghosh S, Mukhopadhyay P, Chowdhury S. Metabolic syndrome in South Asians. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;16(1):44.
28. Parums DV. Editorial: The 2024 Revision of the Declaration of Helsinki and its Continued Role as a Code of Ethics to Guide Medical Research. *Med Sci Monit [Internet].* 2024 Dec 1 [cited 2025 Jul 17];30. Available from: <https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/947428>.
29. Pannacciulli N, Giorgino F, Martina RA, Resta O, Giorgino R, De Pergola G. Effect of Family History of Type 2 Diabetes on White Blood Cell Count in Adult Women. *Obes Res.* 2003 Oct;11(10):1232–7.
30. Ahanchi NS, Hadaegh F, Alipour A, Ghanbarian A, Azizi F, Khalili D. Application of Latent Class Analysis to Identify Metabolic Syndrome Components Patterns in adults: Tehran Lipid and Glucose study. *Sci Rep.* 2019 Feb 7;9(1):1572.
31. Galvão NMS, Matos SMAD, Almeida MDCCD, Gabrielli L, Barreto SM, Aquino EML, et al. Patterns of metabolic syndrome and associated factors in women from the ELSA-Brasil: a latent class analysis approach. *Cad Saúde Pública.* 2023;39(12):e00039923.
32. Korduner J, Nilsson PM, Melander O, Gerl MJ, Engström G, Bachus E, et al. Proteomic and Metabolomic Characterization of Metabolically Healthy Obesity: A Descriptive Study from a Swedish Cohort. Fisher G, editor. *J Obes.* 2021 Oct 6;2021:1–9.
33. Blüher M. Metabolically Healthy Obesity. *Endocr Rev.* 2020 Jun 1;41(3):bnaa004.
34. Gupta A, Gupta P, Singh AK, Gupta V. Association of adipokines with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome including obesity and diabetes. *GHM Open.* 2023 Aug 27;3(1):7–19.
35. Chekol Abebe E, Tilahun Muche Z, Behaile T/Mariam A, Mengie Ayele T, Mekonnen Agidew M, Teshome Azezew M, et al. The structure, biosynthesis, and biological roles of fetuin-A: A review. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2022 Jul 18;10:945287.
36. Pan X, Wen SW, Bestman PL, Kaminga AC, Acheampong K, Liu A. Fetuin-A in Metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-

- analysis. Beiki O, editor. PLOS ONE. 2020 Mar 5;15(3):e0229776.
37. Paz-Filho G, Mastronardi C, Franco CB, Wang KB, Wong ML, Licinio J. Leptin: molecular mechanisms, systemic pro-inflammatory effects, and clinical implications. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol.* 2012 Dec;56(9):597–607.
38. Pérez-Pérez A, Sánchez-Jiménez F, Vilariño-García T, Sánchez-Margalet V. Role of Leptin in Inflammation and Vice Versa. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 Aug 16;21(16):5887.
39. Lanthier N, Lebrun V, Molendi-Coste O, Van Rooijen N, Leclercq IA. Liver Fetuin-A at Initiation of Insulin Resistance. *Metabolites.* 2022 Oct 25;12(11):1023.
40. Ip WKE, Hoshi N, Shouval DS, Snapper S, Medzhitov R. Anti-inflammatory effect of IL-10 mediated by metabolic reprogramming of macrophages. *Science.* 2017 May 5;356(6337):513–9.
41. La Cava A. Leptin in inflammation and autoimmunity. *Cytokine.* 2017 Oct;98:51–8.
42. Ward K, Mulder E, Frings-Meuthen P, O’Gorman DJ, Cooper D. Fetuin-A as a Potential Biomarker of Metabolic Variability Following 60 Days of Bed Rest. *Front Physiol.* 2020 Oct 19;11:573581.
43. Hsu MC, Chen CH, Wang MC, Chen WH, Hu PA, Guo BC, et al. Apigenin targets fetuin-A to ameliorate obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2024;20(5):1563–77.
44. Srikanthan K, Feyh A, Visweshwar H, Shapiro JI, Sodhi K. Systematic Review of Metabolic Syndrome Biomarkers: A Panel for Early Detection, Management, and Risk Stratification in the West Virginian Population. *Int J Med Sci.* 2016;13(1):25–38.
45. Nobili V. Metabolic Disorders: All that We Know From Circulating Biomarkers. *Biomark Med.* 2012 Dec;6(6):707–9.
46. Milani I, Codini M, Guarisco G, Chinucci M, Gaita C, Leonetti F, et al. Hepatokines and MASLD: The GLP1-Ras-FGF21-Fetuin-A Crosstalk as a Therapeutic Target. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2024 Oct 8;25(19):10795.
47. Lu S, Jin H, Nong T, Li D, Long K, Chen Y, et al. Hepatocyte-derived Fetuin-A promotes alcohol-associated liver disease in mice by inhibiting autophagy-lysosome degradation of TLR and M2 macrophage polarization. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2024 Nov;224:506–20.
48. Mukhuty A, Fouzder C, Kundu R. Blocking TLR4-NF- κ B pathway protects mouse islets from the combinatorial impact of high fat and fetuin-A mediated dysfunction and restores ability for insulin secretion. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2021 Jul;532:111314.
49. Stefan N, Fritsche A, Weikert C, Boeing H, Joost HG, Häring HU, et al. Plasma Fetuin-A Levels and the Risk of Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes.* 2008 Oct 1;57(10):2762–7.
50. Birukov A, Polemiti E, Jäger S, Stefan N, Schulze MB. Fetuin-A and risk of diabetes-related vascular complications: a prospective study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2022 Dec;21(1):6.
51. Yin L, Cai WJ, Chang XY, Li J, Su XH, Zhu LY, et al. Association between fetuin-A levels with insulin resistance and carotid intima-media thickness in patients with new-onset type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Biomed Rep.* 2014 Nov;2(6):839–42.
52. Etienne Q, Lebrun V, Komuta M, Navez B, Thissen JP, Leclercq IA, et al. Fetuin-A in Activated Liver Macrophages Is a Key Feature of Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Metabolites.* 2022 Jul 7;12(7):625.
53. Reinehr T, Roth CL. Fetuin-A and Its Relation to Metabolic Syndrome and Fatty Liver Disease in Obese Children Before and After Weight Loss. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008 Nov 1;93(11):4479–85.
54. Liu A, Lamendola C, Ariel D, Abbasi F, Kim SH, Cardell J, et al. Usefulness of Fetuin-A to Predict Risk for Cardiovascular Disease Among Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *Am J Cardiol.* 2015 Jul;116(2):219–24.
55. Al Ali L, Van De Vegte YJ, Said MA, Groot HE, Hendriks T, Yeung MW, et al. Fetuin-A and its genetic association with cardiometabolic disease. *Sci Rep.* 2023 Dec 6;13(1):21469.
56. Yu Q, Guo M, Zeng W, Zeng M, Zhang X, Zhang Y, et al. Interactions between NLRP3 inflammasome and glycolysis in macrophages: New insights into chronic inflammation pathogenesis. *Immun Inflamm Dis.* 2022 Mar;10(3):e581.
57. Liu Y, Xu R, Gu H, Zhang E, Qu J, Cao W, et al. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophage responses. *Biomark Res.* 2021 Dec;9(1):1.
58. Wang H, Wang LL, Zhao SJ, Lin XX, Liao AH. IL-10: A bridge between immune cells and metabolism during pregnancy. *J Reprod Immunol.* 2022 Dec;154:103750.
59. Turner JJO, Foxwell KM, Kanji R, Brenner C, Wood S, Foxwell BMJ, et al. Investigation of nuclear factor- κ B inhibitors and interleukin-10 as regulators of inflammatory signalling in human adipocytes. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2010 Nov 10;162(3):487–93.
60. Iyer SS, Cheng G. Role of Interleukin 10 Transcriptional Regulation in Inflammation and Autoimmune Disease. *Crit Rev Immunol.* 2012;32(1):23–63.
61. Chen L, Deng H, Cui H, Fang J, Zuo Z, Deng J, et al. Inflammatory responses and inflammation-associated diseases in organs. *Oncotarget.* 2018 Jan 23;9(6):7204–18.
62. Kawai T, Autieri MV, Scalia R. Adipose tissue inflammation and metabolic dysfunction in

- obesity. *Am J Physiol-Cell Physiol.* 2021 Mar 1;320(3):C375–91.
63. Guria S, Hoory A, Das S, Chattopadhyay D, Mukherjee S. Adipose tissue macrophages and their role in obesity-associated insulin resistance: an overview of the complex dynamics at play. *Biosci Rep.* 2023 Mar 31;43(3):BSR20220200.
64. Kohlgruber AC, LaMarche NM, Lynch L. Adipose tissue at the nexus of systemic and cellular immunometabolism. *Semin Immunol.* 2016 Oct;28(5):431–40.
65. Berezin AA, Poliasnyi VA, Kovalevskaya LA, Ivanchenko SA, Pahlevanzade A, Panigrahi PK, et al. Fetuin-A as Metabolic Biomarker in Patients at Higher Risk of Heart Failure. *J Biochem Technol.* 2021;12(3):59–66.
66. Peng H, Xiang J, Pan L, Zhao M, Chen B, Huang S, et al. METS-IR/HOMA-IR and MAFLD in U.S. adults: dose–response correlation and the effect mediated by physical activity. *BMC Endocr Disord.* 2024 Aug 1;24(1):132.
67. Esposito K, Pontillo A, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, Marfella R, Nicoletti G, et al. Association of Low Interleukin-10 Levels with the Metabolic Syndrome in Obese Women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003 Mar 1;88(3):1055–8.
68. El Sehmawy AA, Diab FEA, Hassan DA, Mohammed DS, Gamal El Din Al Anany M, Eldesoky NA, et al. Utility of Adipokines and IL-10 in Association with Anthropometry in Prediction of Insulin Resistance in Obese Children. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther.* 2022 Oct;Volume 15:3231–41
69. Adams-Huet B, Jialal I. Correlates of Insulin Resistance in Nascent Metabolic Syndrome. *Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes.* 2023 Jan;16:11795514231168279.
70. Adams-Huet B, Zubirán R, Remaley AT, Jialal I. The triglyceride-glucose index is superior to homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance in predicting metabolic syndrome in an adult population in the United States. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2024 Jul;18(4):e518–24.
71. Ix JH, Shlipak MG, Brandenburg VM, Ali S, Ketteler M, Whooley MA. Association Between Human Fetuin-A and the Metabolic Syndrome: Data From the Heart and Soul Study. *Circulation.* 2006 Apr 11;113(14):1760–7.