Journal of Rare Cardiovascular Diseases
ISSN: 2299-3711 (Print) | e-ISSN: 2300-5505 (Online)
www.jrcd.eu
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Beyond Anaphylaxis: A Short Review on Food Allergy, Systemic Inflammation, and Heart Health

RAMYA SREEPRADEEPA K[1], THIYAGARAJAN R[2], Dr. USHAA ESWARAN[3]&UMA MAHESWARI P[4]
Mahalakshmi Tech Campus, Chennai, Chrompet-600044

*Corresponding Author

Article History
Received: 15.10.2025
Revised: 05.11.2025
Accepted: 19.11.2025
Published: 01.12.2025

Abstract: Food hypersensitive reactions, described as an immune response to food proteins, affect as many younger children and a couple of adults in westernized countries, and their prevalence seems to be rising like all allergic sicknesses. Similarly, to nicely-recognized urticaria and anaphylaxis brought on by way of IgE antibody-mediated immune responses, there may be a growing recognition of cellular-mediated disorders consisting of eosinophilic esophagitis and food protein brought on enterocolitis. New understanding is being developed on the pathogenesis of both IgE and non-IgE mediated sickness. Currently, control of food allergies includes teaching the affected person to keep away from ingesting the responsible allergen and initiating therapy if ingestion occurs. But, novel techniques are being studied, along with sublingual / oral immunotherapy and others with a desire for future.

Keywords: Allergen specific c, food allergy, food protein induced enterocolitis, non-IgE, peanut hypersensitivity

INTRODUCTION

About 30% of Americans believe they have allergies. However, the actual occurrence confirmed by records and adverse conditions indicates a frequency of up to 2-8% in infants and less than 2% in adults. The most common food reactions in the United States are milk, egg, nuts, soy, wheat, tree nuts, fish and mussels. Individual food allergies vary according to the subculture and the population. Imamura's latest survey of 1383 jap people from 878 families found milk, eggs, wheat, nuts, and soybeans, followed by sesame and buckwheat were the most common allergies like us. Honeycomb hypersensitivity is very common in Singapore. The type of allergy to food can vary even in the northern regions of Europe. Russia, Estonia, and Lithuania; The tops of citrus, chocolate, apple, hazelnut, strawberry, fish, tomato, egg, and milk were the most common forms of overindulgence. However, in Sweden and Denmark; tree nuts, apple, pear, kiwi, end stone effect, and carrot have become the most common foods to express hypersensitive reactions. The three reactions to food are not new and have been described for two thousand years. Greek historian of history, hippocrates, describes the response to milk in the 1st century. Anaphylactic reactions in eggs and fish have been described as early due to the seventeenth and 18th centuries. Malnutrition is a major term representing any strange medical response related to swallowing food and may be labeled in the same way as food intolerance or food allergies based entirely on the pathophysiological process of response. Food intolerance refers to the body's negative response to food and may be due to natural food allergies or host characteristics, now it can no longer be produced, and dosage is often available. It is believed that food intolerance represents a general society of harmful responses to food. Food allergies refer to abnormal immunologic responses to food that occur in a person at risk. That reaction is repeated every time the food is eaten and the volume is usually lost. Based entirely on the concerned immune system, food allergies may be labeled as a) IgE-mediated, mediated through the use of immunoglobulin (IgE) antibodies and which are foods with first-stage symptoms of allergic reactions; b) a mediated cell where the cellular element of the body is responsible for the hypersensitive reaction of food and in general contains the intestinal tract; c) IgE mediated-mobile mediated mediated while both IgE cells and antibodies were concerned in response.

History of allergy

Many research in the beyond few decades have shown that although 40%-60% of parents believed their child's signs are related to meals intake, most effective four%-8% of kids have signs reproduced via oral food demanding situations. The prevalence of food allergic reaction is highest in infants and babies (6-eight%) and decreases barely with age, affecting almost 4% of the adults. Food allergic reaction is the leading cause of anaphylaxis handled in health center emergency departments in western europe and the us. Meals hypersensitivity by myself inside the usa seems to account for approximately 30,000 anaphylactic reactions, 2,000 hospitalizations, and probable 200 deaths each 12 months. In kids, meals hypersensitive reaction is the most common reason of anaphylaxis. Kids with mild to excessive atopic dermatitis have a better incidence of IgE-mediated meals allergic reaction, envisioned at about 10-30% depending at the severity of atopic dermatitis. Food hypersensitive reactions appear to play a function in over 90% of youngsters with eosinophilic esophagitis. The maximum not unusual meals allergens inside the paediatric populace encompass cow's milk, eggs, peanuts, tree nuts, soy, wheat, fish, and shellfish, whereas peanuts, tree nuts, fish, and shellfish predominate in adults in india. The prevalence of

sensitization to the precise meals allergens varies primarily based at the age and characteristics of the studied population, but studies incorporating diagnostic food demanding situations currently estimate that the prevalence of cow's milk hypersensitive reaction in toddlers is 2. Five%, egg allergy incidence in young kids is 1. 6% and peanut hypersensitive reaction is envisioned to be among 0. Eight and 1. 5% in younger children in us and england. Maximum toddlers with non-IgE mediated cow's milk allergy "outgrow their sensitivity through the 1/3 yr of existence, but approximately 10- 25% of infant with IgE mediated cow's milk allergy retain their sensitivity and approximately 50% develop sensitivity to different meals. Most kids with egg hypersensitive reaction are also in all likelihood to develop egg tolerance by means of past due formative years, with the exception of patients with an egg IgE greater than 50 ku / l, who're not likely to increase egg tolerance. Peanut, sesame seeds and tree nuts allergic reactions are extra chronic with a threat of turning into tolerant is ready 20% for peanut and sesame seeds and about 10% for tree nuts. Sensitization to either cow's milk or egg in infancy are related to an elevated risk of environmental allergy sensitization and allergies. Certainly they look like the first steps of the atopic march, that initiates in infancy with food sensitization and atopic dermatitis and continues with environmental hypersensitive reactions and rhinitis and allergies improvement after 1- 2 years of age.

There has been a significant increase in food allergies and an increase in emergency branch visits for food allergies. Moreover, nutritional allergies in our youth and england have doubled in the last few years in a similar smartphone study. The reasons for the increase in the occurrence of hypersensitivity in the diet are not accepted, however, the immediate time in which the increase occurred, suggests that genetic factors may be more important than genetic factors as part of hygiene assumptions. Additional substances may play a significant role in food preparation techniques, increased use of antacids, and exposure to cold-containing treatments containing food allergies. 7, eight later dietary introductions to the weight loss program are set to play a role in the boom of food hypersensitivity.

Causes of allergy

Traditional IgE's Core Foods The hypersensitive reaction is one that can be there, repeated, and easily seen in the form of a unique food IgE. In food allergies the general allergy is due to the interaction of allergen precise gene antibody with high-affinity receptor (fcεri), expressed in mast cells and basophils, and the affinity receptor (fcεrii) occasionally, found in macrophages, monocyte, lymphocyte and platelets. When the selected antIgEn binds IgE connected to fcεri determines the divergence of the receiver and the subsequent presentation of the mediators. 6, 8 although it is first suggested that mast cells were not strong cells of the IgE-mediated acute reaction, further studies have shown that basophils also play a key role in allergy and food allergies. Indeed patients with atopic dermatitis and food allergies have a higher rate of spontaneous introduction of histamine into basophils which is more common after the release of harmful foods from the weight loss program. Regular serum tryptase tiers (a specific mark of mast mobile activation) in patients with food-induced anaphylaxis have occasionally been elevated to trigger the introduction of histamine into malignant tryptase cells, including basophils. Forty-five, 46 indoor food allergies may contribute to an allergen allergy. Very few foods (egg, milk, peanuts, tree nuts, fish, shellfish, wheat, and soy) account for the most hypersensitive reactions. The 47 most common signs of "food" allergies are water-soluble glycoproteins, 10 to 70 kd in length, and are extremely strong for warming, acids, and proteases. Similarly, the presence of immunostimulatory elements in the diet may contribute to such sensitivity.

For example, a basic glycoprotein allergy from peanuts, arah 1 is not very strong and is not evidence of degradation of the dIgEstive enzyme but moreover acts as a th2 adjuvant due to the expression of the glycan adduct. Forty-eight, however, the biochemical features of a food allergen cannot explain for myself that its allergenicity, as it is best for a handful of people exposed to it exacerbates allergies. Indeed, the natural consequence of exposure to new ingredients is intolerance. Oral tolerance depends on stable intestinal obstruction and immuologically energy. This barrier consists of epithelial cells that are joined by strong connections with a thick mucous membrane, as well as enzymes in the lumenal border and brush, bile salts, and pH excess, which contribute to the immunogenic immunosuppression. In addition, natural cells (natural killer cells, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, macrophages, epithelial cells, and toll-like receptors) as well as flexible immune systems (intraepithelial and lamina propria lymphocyte, peephocyte, pephhocyteIga, and cytokines) provide a living barrier to foreign antIgEns. 49-53 as allergic reactions are more common in infants, 49 high access to the intestinal mucosa in infants and the first appearance of allergenic antIgEns were proposed as a potential trigger for the sensitivity of the young child. Forty-nine, however, it has been shown that the intestinal mucosa reaches its age in accessibility clauses within 2-third days of life and the high accessibility observed in a few adolescents with food allergies is the result of selectively targeting allergic irritants.

Oral tolerance may also be violated due to the th2-neutral bias of a strong immune system-loving antibody. The current 49-53 epidemiological studies select environmental effects that may promote dysfunction, including reduced exposure to microorganisms and diseases ("hygiene hypothesis"),

decreased omega-6 utilization and reduced omega-three utilization. polyunsaturated fatty acids, reduced nutritional antioxidants, and excess or deficiency of vitamin D. 50, fifty-nine, 60 suggested that th2-dysregulation is caused by altered balance within the well-regulated interactions between epithelial cells, cells that supply -antIgEn (dendritic cells), and t control cells, eventually determine the type of t. cellular reaction caused by a food allergen. Intestinal epithelial cells may act as non-antIgEn-imparting cells in t lymphocytes as they highlight the phase of fundamental histocompatibility complicated (mhc), but they do not have the “2d mark,” which is important for cell proliferation after antIgEn presentation, elevating position its power. on the introduction of food-tolerant antIgEn.

Diagnosis and various studies

At the same time for allergies and skin and skin tests (scratches and skin tests) research that there is a unique protein diet IgE. Well-known, skin tests have a high accuracy prediction of about 50%; yet their horrible guess numbers are over 95%. Seventy-four if the dose of wheal is too high for a skin test, the patient is more likely to respond to food 744 - 166 (desk 1). Sadly the size of the wheel or flare in the skin test now does not anticipate the sharpness of the response. In addition, the patient's age, previous dietary exposure and type of diet alter the predictive penalty for wheel size. In general, when people are young, small pores and skin tests require a large amount of prognosis; bad pores and skin tests for IgE-mediated problems may be very beneficial as adverse reactions are rare. Opportunity for dietary protein especially IgE use in vitro techniques, (feia-cap or "rast test"). A few researchers may also prefer to use in vitro testing when there may be progressive (rare) dermatographism, severe eczema, or when families are reluctant to establish h1 barriers. Like piercing holes and skin tests, the "cut" value can be adjusted to predict 95p. C77, seventy-eight or maybe 50% estimates79 eating challenges (desk 1). However, such as pores and skin tests, the predictable values change in diet, the age of the affected person or the history of the previous response. Predictable prices can be improved by milk, egg, peanuts, tree nuts, sesame seeds and fish. Ninety-five% estimates cannot be converted to soybeans and wheat. Very young patients have a low "cut-off" cost of a predictive value of 95%, at the same time as there are no previous dietary information or clean records with a better predictable value (table 1). With non-IgE-mediated interference, several laboratory diagnostic tools are available. The atopy patch test was used for eosinophilic esophagitis, dietary proteins that cause enterocolitis and atopic dermatitis. 80-84 compared to the skin test, the atopy patch test is very clear, but very small. Eighty-one, 85-87 negative prediction is close to ninety% without milk, which is very close to 60%. Therefore, testing for atopy patch may be a given guideline but it is now not limited to a nutritional recommendation for non-hypersensitivity foods. Eosinophils inside the blood or feces may also point to the progression of enteropathy, however these findings are actually unclear. The serum levels of the allergen-specific igg are not beneficial. Endoscopy detected by biopsy specimens is a very important tool for non-IgE-related problems and is important in diagnosing eosinophilic esophagitis. The conditions they need should select the food causes that are unique to each situation. Treatment

the most effective established treatment for food allergies. However, many families find it difficult to read labels as many foods have many ways of naming the element (for example, casein, whey and lacto albumin milk). As a result, governments have adopted legal labeling guidelines. For example, in Japan, labeling a typical hypersensitive diet using the Minister of Fitness, Labor and Welfare (2001) authorized the labeling of 5 ingredients (milk, egg, peanuts, wheat and other similar grains) and the Minister's program No. 23 of 2001 and authorization to label 19 other foods (abalone, squid, salmon roe, shrimp / prawn, orange, crab, kiwifruit, beef, tree nuts, salmon, mackerel, soy, chook, beef, matsutake mushrooms, peaches , yams, apples and gelatin). The united states launched falpca in 2005 to help analyze labels to maintain your exposure to unhealthy eating of the top eight non-vegetarian foods (milk, egg, peanuts, tree nuts, fish, shellfish, soybeans, and wheat). All those with this condition with good luck with anaphylaxis should be trained to get the symptoms and signs early and plan to treat them correctly. Injectable epinephrine is important along with the school to help identify potential preventable risks.

CONCLUSION

Allergies to food allergies are not uncommon in children affecting 4-6% of people in the USA. Food allergies continue to rise like other food allergies, yet the exact cause of the increase is unknown. Increased knowledge of the pathogenesis of each IgE and non-IgE response generated through the use of new techniques and fashion murine. Those developments created new opportunities for the treatment of food allergies. However, in the latter case, the only treatment to avoid.

REFERENCES

1. Atkins, F. M., & Metcalfe, D. D. (1984). The diagnosis and treatment of food allergy. Annual review of nutrition, 4(1), 233-255.
2. King, H. C., & King, W. P. (1998). Alternatives in the diagnosis and treatment of food allergies. Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America, 31(1), 141-156.
3. Sicherer, S. H., & Sampson, H. A. (2014). Food allergy: epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 133(2), 291-307.
4. Gómez, E., Mayorga, C., Gomez, F., Blázquez, A. B., Diaz-Perales, A., Blanca, M., & Torres, M. J.

(2013). Food allergy: management, diagnosis and treatment strategies. Immunotherapy, 5(7), 755-768.
5. Polloni, L., &Muraro, A. (2020). Anxiety and food allergy: a review of the last two decades. Clinical & Experimental Allergy, 50(4), 420-441.
6. Kalliomäki, M. A. (2005). Food allergy and irritable bowel syndrome. Current opinion in gastroenterology, 21(6), 708-711.
7. Sicherer, S. H., & Sampson, H. A. (2018). Food allergy: a review and update on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention, and management. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 141(1), 41-58.
8. Anagnostou, A., Hourihane, J. O. B., &Greenhawt, M. (2020). The role of shared decision making in pediatric food allergy management. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice, 8(1), 46-51.
9. Yue, D., Ciccolini, A., Avilla, E., &Waserman, S. (2018). Food allergy and anaphylaxis. Journal of asthma and allergy, 11, 111.
10. Sicherer, S. H. (2002). Food allergy. The Lancet, 360(9334), 701-710.
11. Moore, L. E., Stewart, P. H., &deShazo, R. D. (2017). Food allergy: what we know now. The American journal of the medical sciences, 353(4), 353-366.
12. Ramesh, S. (2008). Food allergy overview in children. Clinical reviews in allergy & immunology, 34(2), 217-230.
13. De Martinis, M., Sirufo, M. M., Viscido, A., &Ginaldi, L. (2020). Food allergy insights: A changing landscape. ArchivumImmunologiae et TherapiaeExperimentalis, 68(2), 1-15.
14. Verlinden, A., Hesta, M., Millet, S., &Janssens, G. P. J. (2006). Food allergy in dogs and cats: a review. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 46(3), 259-273.
15. Sampson, H. A., O'Mahony, L., Burks, A. W., Plaut, M., Lack, G., &Akdis, C. A. (2018). Mechanisms of food allergy. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 141(1), 11-19.
16. Alcocer, M. J. C., Ares, S. D. L. C., &López-Calleja, I. (2016). Recent advances in food allergy. Brazilian Journal of Food Technology, 19.
17. Olivera, A., Laky, K., Hogan, S. P., &Frischmeyer-Guerrerio, P. (2021). Innate Cells in the Pathogenesis of Food Allergy. Frontiers in Immunology, 12.
18. Sicherer, S. H., &Teuber, S. (2004). Current approach to the diagnosis and management of adverse reactions to foods. Journal of allergy and clinical immunology, 114(5), 1146-1150.
19. Blank, S., &Hilger, C. (2021). Novel Advances in Allergy Diagnosis and Treatment. Frontiers in Immunology, 12.
20. Dierick, B. J., van der Molen, T., Flokstra-de Blok, B. M., Muraro, A., Postma, M. J., Kocks, J. W., & van Boven, J. F. (2020). Burden and socioeconomics of asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis and food allergy. Expert review of pharmacoeconomics& outcomes research, 20(5), 437-453.
21. Muraro, A., Werfel, T., Hoffmann-Sommergruber, K., Roberts, G., Beyer, K., Bindslev-Jensen, C., ... & EAACI Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Guidelines Group. (2014). EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: diagnosis and management of food allergy. Allergy, 69(8), 1008-1025.